bagayat crops in these parts and that the raiyats are therefore growing dry crops instead of wet and *bagayat* and yet have got to pay heavy wet assessment on these lands and thereby put to heavy loss ;

(*b*) the number of such cases reported to Government ;

(*c*) in how many such cases have Government taken dry assessment on such lands according to rules (details to be furnished) ;

(*d*) whether it will not be possible for the Taluk Amildar at the time of annual taluk *jamabandi* to inspect the quantity of water stocked in each tank, the number of acres of wet and garden lands that could be irrigated if the available water in stock is utilised for the cultivation, and prepare and send a statement to each taluk recommending in such cases the reduction of wet and garden assessment to dry rates ?

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (*Rajasevaprasakta* B. T. Kesavaiengar) —

A.– (*a*) No such instances are reported).

(*b*) Does not arise in view of answer to (*a*) above.

(*c*) Nil.

(*d*) This is not possible. The Amildar generally inspects the tanks in cases where owing to adverse seasonal conditions, recommendations for grant of seasonal remissions have to be made.

MR. G. SHANKARA SETTY (Pavagada—Rural).—

Q.—164. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether it has come to their notice that, in view of the difficulty felt by the raiyats of this taluk to lift water from deep wells for irrigation purposes, a representation was made to the Dewan Sahib by the public of Pavagada during his visit to the place in August 1938 that electric power may be supplied to the taluk ;

(*b*) whether it has also come to their notice that a further representation was made in this behalf to the Dewan Sahib at Tumkur during his visit with His late Highness in the year 1939 ;

(*c*) whether it is a fact that the Dewan Sahib was pleased to order the departmental authorities to survey the taluk for that purpose ;

(*d*) what action has been taken so far ;

(*e*) in case no action has been taken so far, when action can be taken in the matter in view of the growing necessity for electric power for irrigation purposes in the taluk ?

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, ELECTRICAL DEPARTMENT (Mr. M. G. Mehkri).—

A. –(*a*) No.

(*b*) No.

(*c*) No.

(*d*) Does not arise in view of answer to (*c*).

(*e*) It is not possible to state when this will be done owing to the present international situation.

MR. P. NARASAPPA (Pavagada—Rural).—

Q.—165. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the number of tanks, the maintenance works of which were done during the years 1931–32 and 1934–35 ;

(*b*) the amount that was spent for each tank, with the names of the tanks ;

(*c*) in how many cases were mutchalikas taken from the raiyats before the execution of the works;

(*d*) which are the cases in which mutchalikas were not taken;

(*e*) was there any correspondence from the Revenue authorities to the Public Works Department to charge the expenditure on the above to the Finance Relief Fund in 1934-35; and if so, what was the action taken ?

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT (Mr. M. G. Mehkri).—

A.—(*a*) 8 tanks during 1931-32 and 2 tanks during 1934-35 in Pavagada Taluk.

(*b*)—

	1931-32	Rs.
1.	Kannamedi Usasalamakere	803
2.	Dommatamari Nagarlakere	3,312
3.	Naligenahalli Kurubarahallikere ...	677
4.	Kadamalakunte Akkammanakere ...	...
5.	Ponnasandra Nagarabhavikere... ...	1,381
6.	Chankesavapura Hanumanthadevarakere ...	351
7.	Tirumani Hanumanthadevarakere ...	53
8.	Sakkaradakere	615
	1934-35	
1.	Devalikere Hanumanthadevarakere ...	192
2.	Hariharapura Mutharayanakere ...	242

(*c*) Mutchalikas are not taken. Only notifications calling upon the raiyats to do the work in a stipulated time are issued for wide publication in the locality concerned, as required by G.O. No. R. 2315-25/L.R. 312-26-5, dated 30-9-27.

(*d*) *Vide* answer to (*c*) above.

(*e*) No amount was allocated separately for expenditure under the Famine Relief Fund, as there was no specific order directing the debit of the amount to that head of account.

MR. M. R. SIVAPPA (Tiptur—Rural).—

Q—167. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the name of the new cess imposed on cocoanut gardens in addition to the bagayet assessment in Maranagere Village, Kasaba Hobli, Tiptur taluk;

(*b*) the bagayet assessment per acre levied on cocoanut gardens;

(*c*) whether the bagayet assessment fixed varies with survey numbers or is it fixed on any uniform scale;

(*d*) whether any tax is recovered for using the halla water flowing through the cocoanut gardens; if so, in respect of how many gardens is this tax levied;

(*e*) on how maay gardens does the water from this halla flow only when the rain falls;

(*f*) the assessment recovered from Survey Nos. 37, 40 and 70 (details to be furnished on the basis of acres);

(*g*) when the assessment was enhanced on Survey No. 70; what is the excess amount of assessment now recovered;

(*h*) the extent of Survey No. 70; the area covered by the flow of water; the amount of tax collected in respect of this water;